

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,849	03/17/2000	SHIGEKAZU NAGATA	1110-266PCT	5291
2292 7:	590 09/13/2002			
	VART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	HARRIS, ALANA M		
11.220 011011	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	125
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/508,849		NAGATA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Alana M. Hari	is, Ph.D.	1642			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the c	er sheet with the c	corresp ndence address			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	,—	is action is nor					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requi	rement.				
	on Papers						
, <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)[1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		_				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/508,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Finality of the last Office action is withdrawn and new grounds of rejection are set forth.
- 2. Claims 2-6 and 8-11 are pending.
 - Claims 2, 3, 10 and 11 have been amended.
 - Claims 2-6 and 8-11 are examined on the merits.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Withdrawn Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention is withdrawn.
- 5. The rejection of claims 2, 3, 5, 8, 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The rejection of claims 2 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent number 6,183,951 (filed May 12, 1997) is withdrawn.

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 2-6 and 8-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants claim a soluble Fas ligand, which inhibits Fas-mediated apoptosis. This broad claim reads on all possible Fas ligands that are capable of being a proappototic agent. Applicants are only in possession of Fas ligand derivatives identified as SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2. Applicants are not in possession of all the vast number of ligand polypeptides and the encompassed Fas ligand polypeptide derivatives that may or may not inhibit Fas-mediated apoptosis. Many structurally unrelated amino acids are encompassed within the scope of these claims. Furthermore, the novel polypeptides and DNAs encoding the said molecules are not identified and described sufficiently in order to exemplify Applicant had possession of the claimed subject matter. The specification lacks information to lead one of skill in the art to understand that the applicant had possession of the broadly claimed invention at the time the instant application was filed.

Art Unit: 1642

Vas-Cath Inc. V. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). Applicant is reminded that Vas-Cath makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 115).

Applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus. For example as indicated in *The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly* (43 USPQ2d 1398-1412), the description of a genus is achieved by the recitation of a representative number of DNA molecules, usually defined by a nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B(1), the court states that "An adequate written description of a DNA...'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties', not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention".

Applicant is not entitled, nor is the specification enabled for the use of all soluble Fas ligands, which are capable of playing a role in membrane binding activity and inducing Fas-mediated apoptotic activity. Applicant is only in possession of two species, which in some of the claims is not identified by a sequence identity number or defined by structure. Applicants are not permitted to claim all proteins that are encompassed by the claims, hence not entitled to the wide breadth of the claims at

Art Unit: 1642

issue. However, no disclosure, beyond the mention of SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2 is made in the specification. Additionally as Applicant's claims are written the recitation "soluble Fas ligand" could encompass variants. There is no description, however, of the sites at which variability may be tolerated and there is no information regarding the relation of structure to function. Structural features that could distinguish the compounds in the genus from others excluded are missing from the disclosure. One skilled in the art cannot reasonably conclude that the applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time the instant application was filed.

There is insufficient to support the generic claims as provided by the Interim Written Description Guidelines published in the June 15, 1998 Federal Register at Volume 63, Number 114, pages 32639-32645. Applicant is referred to the revised interim guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

- 8. Claims 2-5 and 8-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. The recitation "novel" in claims 2-5 and 8-11 are indefinite in that the term is superfluous and does not further clarify the claimed subject matter. Applicant may obviate the instant rejection by amending the claim to recite "isolated polypeptide" or "purified polypeptide.

Art Unit: 1642

Conclusion

Page 6

9. Claims 2-6 and 8-11 are free of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

(703) 306-5880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, with

alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 308-3995. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-4315 for regular communications and (703) 308-4315 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0196.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

刚4 ··· 2002

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600